Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5649 S SB Title: Mental health/inv outpatient	Agency:	055-Admin Office of the Courts	
--	---------	--------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Counties					
Cities					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part 1)

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact Sandy Stith	Phone: 786-7710	Date: 02/26/2015
Agency Preparation: Susan Arb	Phone: 509-453-8135	Date: 02/27/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/27/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would create an outpatient treatment alternative for persons "in need of assisted outpatient treatment" where they do not present a likelihood of serious harm or are gravely disabled. The only change in SSB 5649 would have no impact on the courts.

Sections with potential court impact:

Unless otherwise mentioned, the section descriptions are the same for both SB 5649 and SSB 5649.

Sections 1 and 2 would amend RCW 71.05.020 to create a new definition for "in need of assisted outpatient treatment."

Section 3 would amend RCW 71.05.150 to create the procedure for filing a petition for assisted outpatient treatment. This section is amended in SSB 5649 to clarify that an emergency room may release a person who is subject to this petition when the medical treatment is finished.

Section 6 would amend RCW 71.05.230 to change the current 14-day detention for treatment to a 14-day commitment for treatment. If the petition seeks an involuntary less restrictive alternative to treatment, the court may find that the person is in need of assisted outpatient treatment instead of that the person presents a likelihood of serious harm or is gravely disabled. The court may not order inpatient treatment without a finding of likelihood of serious harm or grave disability.

Section 7 would amend RCW 71.05.240 to provide that the court may not order inpatient treatment without a finding of likelihood of serious harm or grave disability.

Section 8 would amend RCW 71.05.245 to direct that the court consider the person's current and prior condition when determining whether the person is in need of assisted outpatient treatment.

Section 9 would amend RCW 71.05.280 to allow the court to confine a person who has finished the 14-day intensive treatment, where the person is in need of assisted outpatient treatment.

Section 10 would amend RCW 71.05.320 to allow the court to only order an appropriate less restrictive course of treatment for no more than 90 days where the court or jury finds the person is only in need of assisted outpatient treatment.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

No revenue is expected as a result of this bill.

II. C - Expenditures

Based upon information provided and input from the courts, it is assumed that there would be financial impact to the superior courts statewide from the amendments and provisions in this bill. However, there is no judicial data available to estimate the number of new cases which may be filed as a result of this bill, and therefore we cannot estimate the full impact this bill would have if passed.

Mental health cases each take between ten minutes (for the least contested hearings) and several hours (for highly contested hearings) of court time. For the purposes of this note, we assume that the cases will take an average of 20 minutes each. Unfortunately, without any data to estimate the number of cases which will be filed, we cannot give an accurate assessment of the financial impact to the courts. The total number of involuntary mental illness and alcohol dependency cases filed statewide in the last 3 years averages 10,851 per year. Because this bill would bring to the courts a group of persons never before included, we cannot estimate the number of new cases which would be filed. For illustration, we can estimate the impact to the courts if we assume 100 cases are filed, or 500 cases are filed.

Number of cases filed: 100 New case filings cost to the courts – state: \$3,464 New case filings cost to the courts – counties: \$15,147 Total costs: \$18,611

Number of cases filed: 500

New case filings cost to the courts – state: \$17,318 New case filings cost to the courts – counties: \$75,735 Total costs: \$93,053

Again, these calculations are simply for illustration, as the true impact could be less or more than either of these estimates .

There is a finite amount of superior court judicial officer time available to hear cases throughout the state. Whenever additional caseload creates a need for additional judicial officers, the system absorbs that need. The system accommodates such changes partially by delaying criminal and juvenile cases and partly by lengthening the backlog for civil trials. Small increases in FTE need may be absorbed by the system, but there is a cumulative effect from multiple bills in a session or over a series of years that can result in a shortage of judges and commissioners relative to the judicial need expressed in caseload.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact